

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/939,895	08	3/27/2001	David Paul Agnello	BU9-98-183-US2 4105	
31780	7590	09/02/2003			
ERIC ROBINSON				EXAMINER	
PMB 955 21010 SOUTHBANK ST.				VU, HUNG K	
POTOMAC	FALLS, V	A 20165		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		-		2811	
				DATE MAILED: 09/02/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SEP -5 2003 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

OIPE			16.7
, significant to the second se	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
SEP 0 3 2003 Advisory Action	09/939,895	AGNELLO ET AL.	
distribution	Examiner	Art Unit	
PADEMA	Hung K. Vu	2811	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe		•	ress
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (' condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	cation in
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		i
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv		e final rejection, whichou	er is later. In no
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The da	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. \$ 136(a) and the appropriat	See MPEP e extension fee
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extended 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moderned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	s Brief must be filed within the p R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or			
(d) \square they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.		nongrafa tim -ti fil	d amandarat
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).			
5.⊠ The a)□ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)⊠ request for application in condition for allowance because: Section 1.	ee Continuation Sheet		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	$\operatorname{nt}(s)$ a) \square will not be entered or leading would be rejected is provided be	b)⊡ will be entered low or appended.	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows		性學院 二十	
Claim(s) allowed:		ECHNOLOGY CEN	
Claim(s) objected to:		0.0	RE
Claim(s) rejected: 27,33,34 and 39-44.		· Y2	.CE
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 28-31 and	1 35-38.	CE _M .	5 7 EV
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is Note the extended information Disclosure Statements.	s a)□ approved or b)□ disap	proved by the Exa	miner T
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10. Other:		18ma	Jun 1
		HUD0	E VU IT EKAMINER
		HATTEN	, CRYIMINER

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/939,895

Application No.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: there is no statement in the Office Action states that the claimed structure existing during an intermediate step has no patentable weight. As indicated in the response, Applicant agreed that claims 27 and 34 claimed structure exising during an intermediate step of a process, which is contrary to the elected invention that Applicant had elected in reponse to the Restriction Requirement Paper # 3. In that Restriction Requirement, two distinct species had been identified, which were a structure existing during an intermediate step of a process and a final structure. Applicant elected the final structure of Figures 10 and 18. However, Figures 10 and 18 do not shown the layer of cobalt disilicide is in contact with a reagent.